1	
2	
3	EVENT CENTER AND MIXED-USE DEVELOPMENT
4	AT MISSION BAY BLOCK 29-32
5	SUBSEQUENT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
6	PUBLIC SCOPING MEETING
7	
8	
9	Mission Creek Senior Community Center
10	225 Berry Street, Second Floor Cafeteria
11	San Francisco, California
12	
13	Tuesday, December 9, 2014
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	REPORTED BY: DEBORAH FUQUA, CSR #12948
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES		
2			
3	Lead Agency:		
4	Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure,		
5	CATHERINE REILLY		
6			
7	Golden State Warriors,		
8	CLARKE MILLER		
9			
10	San Francisco Planning Department		
11	BRETT BOLLINGER		
12	VIKTORIYA WISE		
13	CHRIS KERN		
14			
15	ESA+Orion		
16	JONATHAN CAREY		
17	PAUL MITCHELL		
18	JOYCE HSIAO		
19	PAUL MITCHELL		
20			
21			
22			
23			
2 4			
25			

1	I N D E X	
2		
3	PUBLIC COMMENT	
4		
5	MARK ELIOT	2 4
6	MICHAEL DRUMMOND	26
7	ALEX MITRA	27
8	CORINNE WOODS	28
9	KEVIN CARROLL	29
10	RALPH ANAVY	30
11	J.R. EPPLER	32
12	DENNIS MacKENZIE	33
13	HOLLY FRIEDMAN	3 4
14		
15		
16	000	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
2 4		
25		

Tuesday, December 9, 2014 6:37 o'clock p.m.

2 ---000---

1 4

2.5

PROCEEDINGS

BRETT BOLLINGER: Welcome everyone. If you don't mind, we'd like to get started. So if you would take a seat, we will begin the public scoping meeting.

Good evening, and welcome to tonight's public scoping meeting for the Golden State Warriors Event Center Mixed-Use Development at Mission Bay, Blocks 29 through 32.

My name is Brett Bollinger. I will be doing the presentation today. First off, though, Catherine wanted to say a couple of words before we get started.

CATHERINE REILLY: Hi everyone. Welcome tonight.

I'm Catherine Reilly from the Office of Community

Investment and Infrastructure. For those of you who come to the Citizens Advisory Committee meetings, you'll know who I am.

Also, I just wanted to introduce some of the folks who are here tonight. We have, in my office, Manny. We also have representatives from Environmental Planning; OEWD, I don't know everyone wants to raise your hand; and then also Golden State Warriors, if you could just raise your hand on who's here from that team.

So we're all here to support the project.

Brett will get into what this meeting is and the difference between this meeting and some of the other meetings we have.

1 4

2.5

This is a very legally specific meeting under CEQA. We'll be having another meeting on Thursday. So tonight's meeting really is to hear from you versus to have discussion about the project.

Thursday, though we'll be coming back with refinements to the major phase, which is the masking study that was presented in December. And that will be a good opportunity to have a bit more of a discussion about the design of the project and all of that. So I really encourage people to come out Thursday, if you're able to. We'll be posting the presentation on board.

Next week, on Thursday, we will be going to Planning Commission to start a public review process, with the Commissioners. And then January 6th, we'll be going to the OCII Commission.

So tonight, basically, I'm going to turn it over to Brett from Environmental Planning. In this case, this is going to geek out into the CEQA stuff.

My office, OCII, is the lead agency. So we are the legal lead agency under CEQA for this document.

However, we are working closely with EP, Environmental

Planning, because they have the expertise in planning these. So they are the people doing the work on the day-to-day line.

1 4

2.5

But this is a little different for those of you who have been involved in the CEQA process in the City, since actually OCII is the lead agency and EP is helping, basically, as kind of our hired consultants since they're experts -- just for those of you who really get too geeky on it.

With that, I'll turn it over to Brett. Thank you again for all taking your time to come out here. I know it takes a lot for the community to come out and spend time on something as exciting as CEQA.

BRETT BOLLINGER: All right. Welcome. My name is Brett Bollinger. I work with the San Francisco Planning Department, Environmental Planning Division. And I'm responsible for coordinating the Department's or OCII's preparation of the Subsequent Environmental Impact Report or SEIR for this project with OCII as the lead agency.

I will be working with Catherine Reilly of OCII, my coworkers at Environmental Planning, Viktoriya Wise and Chris Kern, as well as Joyce Hsiao, Paul Mitchell and others with ESA+Orion Environmental, the CEQA consultants for the project. I'd also like to

introduce Clarke Miller with the Golden State Warriors representing the project sponsor.

1 4

2.5

If you'd like to speak during the public comment portion of this meeting, please complete a speaker card which we will be collecting from you. So if you haven't filled one out, please go -- Joyce has one over there.

Later, during the public comment portion of the meeting, we'll call your name when it's time for you to come up and speak.

Now I'd like to take a minute to discuss the purpose of tonight's meeting.

The EIR process, as required by the California Environmental Quality Act or CEQA, is a very public process. And this is the first step in the process. The main reason for this scoping meeting is to solicit your comments or suggestions concerning the scope and content of the SEIR. This is your opportunity to assist the Planning Department and OCII by sharing any information you may have that will be useful in the preparation of the SEIR. Your comments could help to identify significant environmental issues; determine the depth of analysis appropriate for each issue; or identify reasonable project alternatives.

We are not here to discuss or debate your

views about the proposed project but rather to receive your input on the content of the Environmental Impact Report that we are going to prepare.

Now I'd like to hand things over to Clarke Miller, who will speak about the project to you.

CLARKE MILLER: Thank you.

1 4

2.5

Hi, everyone. I'm Clarke Miller with Strada
Investment Group. We're the entitlements manager on
behalf of the Warriors. It's nice to see a lot of
familiar faces and also some new ones here tonight.

So I'm going to give you an overview of the project, talk about the design of the project operations, and lastly construction.

The overview. The project is based in Mission Bay. It is bound on the west by Third Street, on the north by South Street, on the east by Terry Francois Boulevard, and on the south by 16th Street. It is a 12-acre site today, but there will be an acre on the east side of the site that will be dedicated to the City and will enable the Terry Francois Boulevard to be realigned straight down as opposed to today, where it actually loops closer to the bay.

The Warriors and Salesforce.com entered into a purchase agreement in April of this year for the entire Blocks 29 to 32. The program, as we've designed it,

has a multi-purpose event center with 18,064 seats.

Within the Event Center, there's 25,000 gross square feet of office space dedicated to the Warriors staff and personnel. Also, within the Event Center, there is a practice facility and team campus. And outside of the Event Center, there is approximately 580,000 gross square feet of office and lab space in two buildings.

There's also approximately 125,000 gross square feet of retail that's on the lower floors of those office buildings as well as in some stand-alone buildings on the site and along the perimeter of the arena.

1 4

2.5

There are approximately 950 on-site parking spaces that are in three levels of parking on the site. One level is concealed but at grade, and two levels are below grade on the western portion of the site.

There are also 13 loading docks that are all below grade. And there's approximately 3.2 acres of open space on the site.

More on the design. This is a design sketch from Craig Dykers of Snohetta that is our design consultant on the project. You can tell that the intent is to be very porous and welcoming with the design and to invite people onto the site. We think this will be a destination within the city and within Mission Bay, and we want to reflect that in the overall

site plan.

1 4

2.5

It also has a number of curved linear elements -- the arena, obviously, and also portions of the office building -- that's intended to juxtapose with some of the more rectilinear shapes that we see in Mission Bay but also still fit into the broader context of Mission Bay and enhance the fabric of the architecture that's here today.

That design has metamorphosed into this site plan. And I'll walk around the site, starting in the northwest corner, where we have one of the office and lab buildings. There is a 90-foot podium section in the northwest corner with a tower that has a max-four-plate size of 20,000 square feet that extends from 90 feet up to 160 feet.

The lower floors of the office and lab buildings do have retail that will flank the open plaza that's in between the office buildings.

Going clockwise, there's a pedestrian path that leaves the plaza on the Third Street side and connects from the plus -- this plaza is marked at plus ten; that is at its highest point. It actually, for sloping and drainage reasons, slopes down to plus eight feet. People are able to leave this plaza area if they choose and walk around the pedestrian path

to a secondary entrance to the arena that's in the southeast corner.

1 4

2.5

The primary arena entrance is on the northwest corner of this site, and we anticipate most people that are traveling by Muni and public transit will access the site by the northwest corner, walking around the office building into the plaza area and entering in the northwest corner of the Event Center.

Folks will take the pedestrian path and be able to access retail on either sides of it and walk towards the bay, where we have more retail flanking Terry Francois Boulevard and then, like I mentioned, enter the arena also at 26 feet of elevation in the southeast corner, which is the exact elevation of the main concourse within the Event Center.

The food hall that's located in the northeast corner of the site has a bit of a Ferry Building-type feel to it. A number of food vendors, beverage vendors that would be inside there offering wares like you would see in the Ferry Building. Further design still occurring on that space.

We also have a bayfront terrace that's an elevated element of the design that will have some private and some public components to it that are still being fleshed out.

And as we continue around to the southeast corner, in addition to the plus 26 entry into the arena, there is also an entry at grade level, at zero, for folks that are entering either into the Event Center floor seating area or there is another use for the site, the Event Center.

1 4

2.5

In addition to the 18,000 capacity for basketball games and large concerts, there is a reduced-size arena theater venue that can accommodate between 3- and 4,000 patrons. And that's for a cut-down version of the arena to see events that are smaller in size, more intimate theater, musical acts that would enjoy being inside of an Event Center but yet don't require a seating capacity of 18,000. They would be able to enter the arena site through this arena entry specific to the theater at grade.

I'm going to come back to parking in a couple slides, but we do have a parking entry down in the southwest corner. We also have the 16th Street office and lab tower which has a 90-foot podium component. And on top of that, a tower that's 20,000-square-foot floor plate that rises to 160 feet. As well on that tower, we will have retail flanking the plaza in the lower levels.

Lastly is the gate house, which is a smaller

element that provides vertical circulation for people that are parking in the below-grade parking structure to enable them to come up to the podium area. And there will also be some retail that gate house.

The size of the plaza on the west side, west side is roughly similar in size to Rockefeller Plaza. And we envision it hosting events around the year, ice skating rink, Christmas tree lightings, pumpkin patches, community events. We think it can be a great amenity for hosting program around the entire year.

Next slide, please.

1 4

2.5

So the highlight of the design is we intend for this to be a world class design that creates a strong sense of place and provides a new cultural destination not just for Mission Bay but for broader San Francisco. The maximum height is at 135 feet at the apex of the Event Center, for the Event Center specifically. And the highest point on the commercial buildings, 160 feet at the top of the tower elements, 90 feet at the top of the podium, which is 11 and 6 stories respectively.

We are seeking LEED Gold Campus Certification, and we will have a bird-safe design per the Planning Department standards for bird-safe design.

Vehicular access and parking. We have two

primary access points for vehicles onto the site. The first is in the north center part of the site. Mid block, directly across from Bridge View Way, there is an entry for autos and small truck loading that will have access to a parking cartridge that largely flanks the north side of the site that will primarily serve our retail patrons but will have access for arena patrons as well.

1 4

2.5

On the south side of the site is the second entry, the primary one, that has four lanes which enables access for two lanes of auto and two lanes of truck access. The truck access enables trucks to go down to the lowest level of the parking structure and circulate internal to the parking area to the northwest corner of the arena to where we have several of the loading docks. And the vehicles are able to load the Event Center without any exposure to the neighborhood since it's concealed in the bowels of the parking area.

We have multiple points of bicycle access on this site. We will have a bicycle valet that will hold up to 300 bicycles. We are currently looking at locations for this on Terry Francois on our site, 16th Street, or possibly Bayfront Park, but we know that there is future design consideration for that that we are going to participate in.

In addition to the 300 bicycle stalls in the valet, there would be a bicycle corral that could be temporarily set up for large events and accommodate an additional 100 bicycles.

1 4

2.5

We also will have bike storage rooms in the office buildings of approximately 100, split between two office buildings and an additional seven for the retail buildings.

One more on that. There will also be approximately -- if you go back one, Paul, thanks.

There are also a number of bicycle racks around the perimeter of the site. We look to comply with the streetscape plan from Mission Bay. And that would accommodate an additional 75 bicycles in those racks circulated around the site.

Pedestrian access. As mentioned previously, we think that, for those people arriving through public transit, they'll come through the northwest side of the site, entering at grade, rising over a ramp up to the plus 10 plaza and entering at the northwest corner of the site. For people that are leaving the office building, they have access from their office building straight onto the plaza.

There will also be points of access on South Street and from -- through the food hall up into the pedestrian path that rises above it. I mentioned earlier the pedestrian path that circles around to the southeast corner of the site. And there are also entryways in the southeast corner up to the plus 26 and into the entry at that level.

1 4

2.5

Lastly from the southwest corner, there will be a similar ramp and entry area that goes -- flanks along Third Street and up onto the podium into the main plaza. And for folks that are taking the vertical circulation from the parking structure, they're able to rise straight up on Third Street into the gate house and access the plaza accordingly.

I forgot one item. On a previous slide around parking, in addition to the 950 parking stalls that are on the site, the Warriors, as part of the agreement with Salesforce.com, will have 132 parking stalls assigned to it in the 450 South Street parking garage, which is directly across the street. It's a garage owned by Alexandria and leased to a number of office tenants in the area. And we will have 132 parking stalls in that site. That's in addition to the 950.

Operations of the project. For CEQA purposes, we have taken very conservative estimates of what we believe to be the annual event count and attendance levels.

We projected 221 annual events at the site.

You'll see the most numerous one are family shows with

55 annually predicted at an average attendance of 5,000

people.

1 4

2.5

Warriors regular season games will be 41 regular season games with an average attendance of 17,000. You'll note that is less than 18,064 that is the seating capacity because there is an industry standard no-show rate that we're accounting for.

In addition to the regular season games, if you counted across this, you would count that there are 205 events shown. But we have accounted for 16 play-off games. The Warriors are 18 and 2, fingers are crossed they make it. But the assumption here is that they are playing all the playoff series to the full extent possible, meaning seven-game series. And they are hosting the maximum number of home games in each of those series. So it's the most conserve estimate we can make for the Warriors' play-off season.

We have other rentals at 31 per year. That's conventions, conferences that would be held on site with an average attendance of 9,000. We have large-format concerts in the arena predicted at 30 per year with an average attendance of 12,500. These other sporting events — the tennis tournaments, lacross,

other basketball, 30 events per year, average attendance of 7,000.

1 4

2.5

We have concerts that are in the theater configuration I mentioned earlier, approximately 15 events per year for an average attendance of 3,000. And pre-season games for the Warriors, two to three per season, average attendance of about 11,000.

In sum, these 221 events have an average attendance of approximately 9,300.

These event details are used within the CEQA analysis to make sure that the proper days of the week, months of the year and day parts are considered when analyzing those 221 events.

You can see that the average attendance that I just mentioned and the maximum attendances are shown, the season of the year, the time of day, whether -- which is critically important when we're studying whether these events overlap with the evening peak rush hour from 4:00 to 6:00 p.m. Warriors games, it's important to note, do start at 7:30 p.m., so it's after that evening rush on the streets and in the public transit. And we also look at the distribution of the events over the course of the year.

Projected employment on the site. The Warriors are projecting to have 255 employees work in

the office space. That's more than they have today, but they're accounting for a different organizational structure, once they're owning their facility, since they're leased tenants today at Oracle Arena. And the arena employees themselves on a no-event day, 105 primarily engineers and managers of the site; for a convention event would have 675; and for a game night, 1,000. And that's using best in class standards of other major event centers from around the country.

1 4

2.5

The predictions for office full-time employees and retail of 2100 for the office/lab, and 370 for retail are taken from City standard guidelines based on the square footage of space we're offering.

Construction. We are using drilled auger cast piles. And this is something we're excited to share because it's not a requirement, but it's something that — it reduces noise and vibration in the surrounding area. So we've been talking closely with the biotech community, UCSF and the neighbors. And we believe that, even though there's additional cost and time associated with it, that this is a benefit to the project. So that's going to be the baseline consideration for CEQA purposes.

We're also going to be doing some pre-construction testing to make sure that our

structural analyses and geotech analyses are correct by doing some indicator test piles during the project as well as archeological testing for the site.

Approximate durations of the construction is 26 months.

Catherine touched on this. The upcoming public meetings, this Thursday with Mission Bay CAC. I believe it will be about our fifth or sixth time back, so we appreciate everybody's patience as we continue to evolve the design. We're excited to show you the next evolution.

Thursday of next week we will be presenting to the Planning Commission. And in early January, on the 6th, we will be in front of the OCI commission for an informational presentation about our project.

Thank you.

1 4

2.5

BRETT BOLLINGER: Okay. I'm just going to go over kind of just the basic CEQA information and the overall CEQA process that this project will be going to and then we'll get into the speaking, speakers.

The basic purpose of CEQA is to provide for an informed decision making about the environmental consequences of a project or government action. The first step of an SEIR process is the issuance of the notice of preparation with initial study and the scoping meeting on today, the 19th -- or the 9th to

solicit comments in determining the scope of the SEIR for the agencies and the public.

1 4

2.5

It included a brief description of the proposed project and CEQA environmental topics analyzed in the initial study, plus indicated on how to comment the scope of the SEIR. The notice indicated that written comments maybe submitted until Friday, December 19th.

Over the next several months, the Planning

Department will prepare the Draft SEIR, which will be distributed for public review for a period of 45 days.

The comments on the Draft SEIR will be accepted in writing and at OCII Commission hearing, which will be held about a month after publication of the Draft SEIR.

Following the close of the Draft SEIR comment period, the Planning Department will prepare a Response to Comments document. This document will contain written responses to all substantial comments received during the Draft SEIR review period. It will also identify any changes to the Draft EIR as necessary to fully respond to comments received. The Response to Comments document will be distributed to those who commented on the Draft SEIR, various agencies and other interested parties.

About two weeks after the publication of the

Response to Comments document, the OCII Commission will hold a hearing where they will be asked to certify the Final EIR, which will consist of the Draft SEIR together with the Response to Comments.

1 4

2.5

Certification of the SEIR would not mean the project is approved or disapproved. Rather, it would only satisfy the CEQA environmental review requirements for the proposed project.

Project approval or disapproval is a separate consideration from the adequacy of the SEIR. The SEIR will discuss all CEQA environmental topics that were not analyzed in the initial study that was published with the NOP.

The SEIR will identify feasible measures to avoid or substantially reduce the project's significant environmental impacts. These are called mitigation measures.

The SEIR will also consider whether there are alternatives that would avoid or substantially lessen any of the significant environmental impacts of the project.

Speakers tonight will be limited to three minutes, which will be loosely enforced. I recognize that many of you have significantly more information to share, more than the two [sic] minutes that we will

allow. So please consider your verbal comments as a summary of your principal points of view. And if you wish, you may supplement those statements with written comments. Please submit written comments by 5:00 p.m. December 19th to the address listed on the agenda or the NOP.

1 4

2.5

We have a court reporter here who will prepare a transcript of your comments. When you come to the microphone, please state your name and address. If you are representing an organization, please indicate the group or your official capacity with that group.

You may be asked to spell your name for the benefit of our court reporter.

I'd like to emphasize again that the purpose of this project is to gather information to help inform our analysis of the project's environmental impacts and not to judge the levels of work propositioned to the project. As such, I'm going to ask you to refrain from commenting on the merits of the project but instead to direct your remarks to the scope and focus of the SEIR.

And finally, the SEIR will not weight the impact analysis based on the number of comments received on a particular topic. We have limited speaker comments. So if you haven't submitted one, then we'll ask you to come up, after the three speakers

that filled out a card, to come up and make your comments.

1 4

2.5

So now it's time to hear from our first speaker.

CATHERINE REILLY: Brett? Just a sec.

Is there anyone here who's planning on attending the Dog Patch annual party? I had -- we apologize. It's hard to find a night where there's not a conflict. So I had offered that, for those who are planning and need to leave early, we would let them go first.

So for those who are going to -- those with Dog Patch, have you put in your comments cards? If you'd like to speak and you'd like to leave early to get to the holiday party, would you just raise your hand?

(No response)

CATHERINE REILLY: Okay. So we'll just go with the cards that you have.

BRETT BOLLINGER: First speaker is Mark Elliot

MARK ELIOT: Mark Eliot. I live at 239 Brannan

Street. Last name is spelled E-L-I-O-T.

Three comments. First one deals with City ordinances. I notice in the initial study, there's a statement about the South plan and South design

development, constituting regulatory land use --

2 (Reporter interruption)

1 4

2.5

THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. I couldn't hear what you said. "Constituting"?

MARK ELIOT: Oh, that they supercede the Planning Code for this project.

That kind of concerned me when I heard about that, because the Code, between 1998 and now, 16 years, it's an awful lot of stuff that's gone on in the city environmentally.

So I'm wondering whether the SEIR can't identify those ordinances that will be superceded and state, you know, what the consequences are if we're not complying with those.

Second comment deals with transportation. I think that's actually one of the biggest concerns for the project.

And the initial study talks about a detailed analysis for transportation impacts associated with the project. So you're going to do this analysis, but the analysis is going to depend on assumptions for mode share. And all I can find out about mode share so far was a previous presentation, I think that was maybe in November, about the different splits.

And I know in that presentation, they compared

the Warriors to the Giants ballpark and the arena in Sacramento and some other places. But I wasn't clear that those were really good comparisons. So I think it's important to make it clear what the assumptions are and, most importantly, provide evidence for those assumptions before you get into the actual analysis.

I'd like to know why, you know, 55 percent automobile and 2 percent bike is reasonable. There should be some justification for that.

Thirdly, and related to that earlier comment, San Francisco's got a couple of big transportation mode share goals, namely, less than 50 percent of trips by car through the MTA. And the Board of Supervisors has a resolution that says 20 percent bike mode share by 2020.

So I'd like to know how this project, through the EIR, will reconcile these goals with the mode share that apparently they're going to be analyzing.

Thank you.

1 4

2.5

BRETT BOLLINGER: Next up is Michael Drummond.

MICHAEL DRUMMOND: Hi, Michael Drummond. I live over at Madrone, 420 Mission Bay. I've lived there for about four years now and been a Warriors season ticket holder for three years, so I'm personally a big fan of them moving to the city, would be excited to walk to

games. Kind of want to get on board and see the steps that they're taking to do this professionally and the right way.

1 4

2.5

And so far, every time I've met with them, they have gone above and beyond to kind of see what the people in the community are looking for in terms of the environmental needs of the community and what the CAC is asking. Again, specifically, something I just learned is the augen -- auger drill piles. Just my biggest complaint in the past four years is how loud the construction has been.

And it's -- I work from home, so that's -- for me, it's important. And I just think that's a big step for the Warriors, that they're kind of listening to what the people have to say. And personally it means a lot that they would spend extra money just to, you know, make people happy in the community, so.

BRETT BOLLINGER: Thank you. Next up is Alex Mitra.

ALEX MITRA: Hi. My name is Alex Mitra. I am the manager of public policy at the SF Chamber of Commerce. As their representative tonight, I would like to express our support for the Warriors project.

Based on my observations of the project in Mission Bay, I believe the Warriors are carefully

studying the potential impacts of the project. So the inclusion of the 1,000 -- sorry, 125,000 gross square feet of retail space is of great interest to us, great interest to the Chamber. And the Warriors are taking great care to study the impacts of said retail, whether it be retail or restaurant, to ensure that we get an adequate mix.

1 4

2.5

And with this arena, the Warriors are creating a center for the neighborhood. They are bringing city and regional activity to the Mission Bay just like the Barclays Center in Brooklyn. And rather than overpowering a neighborhood, the Warriors Arena project would add to Mission Bay's sense of the neighborhood.

And thus, we would ask that the CEQA process progress as quickly as possible so that we can open a world class arena in Mission Bay. Thank you.

BRETT BOLLINGER: Next is Corinne Woods.

CORINNE WOODS: I have two questions on the initial study -- oh, Corinne Woods. I live on Channel Street, or actually on Mission Creek.

The section on recreation says that there would not be any substantial increase in the use of existing Parks and Rec's facilities and would not lead to physical deterioration of existing recreational resources.

Our plans for Bayfront Park never contemplated having 20,000 additional people coming into the neighborhood to use these parks. I think that this is something we have to seriously look at as we go through the review of recreational resources and also as we do our advanced planning for Bayfront Park.

1 4

2.5

The other issue I have doesn't seem to be a CEQA issue, but I don't know where it goes. And that is that there's no impact in terms of solid waste handling of this arena. Again, it's been our experience in the neighborhood that there's a significant increase in solid waste as a result of the Giants. And somehow, that burden of cleaning it up ends up on Mission Bay and not the City's general fund because it's Mission Bay.

And I think that somehow the analysis should reflect the increased burden on this community of increased solid waste. Thank you.

BRETT BOLLINGER: Kevin Carroll.

KEVIN CARROLL: Good evening. My name is Kevin Carroll. I'm the executive director of the Hotel Council of San Francisco. We're a trade association that represents about 85 hotels in San Francisco and 24,000 workers that work in our industry.

So I'm here to thank the Warriors for the work

and definitely would support the EIR process. The number of events and the appropriate number of events —— I think the one thing to remember is, as you look the size of the events that are going to be done in this arena, some will bring in more people to San Francisco.

Some will actually affect our employees, the hotel employees. The majority of our employees live and work in San Francisco, which is different than some of the other industries. And actually the additional — having more events within the programs and having the economic impacts related to that, I think the studies that you've done to look at the size of events and types of events that would be in there I think would be important for us to consider.

So on behalf of the Hotel Council and our board of directors, we do support the EIR process and hope that it moves through quickly on this project that obviously will help out in a lot of ways.

So thank you.

1 4

2.5

BRETT BOLLINGER: That was the last speaker card is there any -- oh, there we go.

RALPH ANAVY: I'm Ralph Anavy. And I live at 420 Mission Bay Boulevard. I was not able to attend the

last meeting on transportation, so I want to address a couple of points related to transportation.

1 4

2.5

One of them, the plan that I saw does not address the needs of the people living in the area to get in and out. It addresses people coming into the area, but doesn't address the fact that people living in the area are going to be trapped, as we are today when the Giants have a ball game.

So the EIR has to address a rational plan for people to be able -- who live the area to be able to get in and out. That's the first point.

The second point is about the parking, the 55 percent load on parking. I want to remind you that, when the Giants came here, they said it was going to be a commuter-only park, with no parking. Of course, we all know what happened.

So I would urge you to set a much lower goal because we know we're going to go above that goal. So 25 percent maybe is a good goal to go with because you're going to go over it no matter what you do -- and to really address issues of ferry and other kind of ways of getting people in and out rather than 55 percent load of cars.

Thank you.

BRETT BOLLINGER: Any other? Got one more.

J.R. EPPLER: Good evening. My name is

J.R. Eppler. I live at 453 Utah, and I am the

president of the Potrero District Neighborhood

Association.

1 4

2.5

These comments come from things that I noticed in the notice of participation [sic], and they also go to what the prior speaker mentioned.

In looking at the cumulative impacts of this project, it seems like there's been a look at the Eastern Neighborhood plan, the Pier 70 plan, and numerous other small plans. That doesn't quite get to the impact that this arena is going to have to the neighborhood.

For example, in the Notice of Preparation, the Western Summit Community Plan, which is directly adjacent to Mission Bay, was not discussed.

The Central Corridor project, which is currently in draft form, which will include massive up-zoning on Fourth Street, which runs directly into Mission Bay, was not discussed.

This arena is going to be on Third Street.

The Hunters Point Redevelopment plan and the load for the T-Third was not discussed.

These are all things that have to be incorporated in order to make sure that this plan works

not just for the people who will be coming into and out of the arena but the people that surround the arena.

The other point that I'd like to make is we have to be very careful when looking at the impacts to make sure that we use the most accurate data we have. For example, I'm looking at the population and housing impact and looking at the impact of construction jobs. Data that probably dates from the end of 2013 was used. We know all know that the amount of construction has gone up greatly over the course of the last year.

In order to accurately measure the impacts that are going to be taking place, we need to make sure that we're not using outdated data.

Thank you very much.

1 4

2.5

BRETT BOLLINGER: Any additional speakers?

DENNIS MacKENZIE: Good evening. I'm Dennis

MacKenzie. I live on Precita in the Bernal Heights,

Mission District. I'll send some comments through

e-mail on the school proposal that I've been proposing

to the Warriors and the City.

In this NOP, it says that -- Summary of Public Services Impacts in Mission Bay, there's a small section called Public Schools. I teach public high school here, in San Francisco and write education proposals for many years. And as a part of my proposal

for the Warriors to include a high school classroom inside the arena, I'm asking this -- I don't know where else -- who else could do some kind of study as to the positive impacts it could have for our entire school system and kids and using nonprofit agencies, using this arena as a positive influence and impacts, positive impact on the entire city.

1 4

2.5

So I don't know what section that would fall except for the public school section. Thank you.

BRETT BOLLINGER: Any additional speakers? Going once? Twice? Ma'am?

HOLLY FRIEDMAN: Holly Friedman [phonetic]. I'm a resident of Potrero Hill and represent Grow Potrero Responsibly. And I also wanted to speak about the cumulative impacts that the development, not only in its construction but in its future state, will have on the neighborhood.

I just would like to urge the Environmental Planning office to consider all of the residential and commercial projects that are currently in its pipeline and are planned to be in construction during this time.

I feel that a site of this size is enormous and, when looked at with all of the other large construction sites -- we have Daggett Place that's over 400 units and the proposed residential housing at the

Corovan site as well as 1601 Mariposa. You're talking about adding over 1,000 residential units, and all of this construction is going to be timed at the same time.

1 4

2.5

So as a resident who passes through that area, I'm really concerned about the hazardous waste that's going to be in the environment and released when all these constructions happen at the same time and the impact of all the traffic will have with all of these projects happening within a three-, four-block radius of each other.

So not -- so during the construction. And then post construction, when -- if all of these proposals go through as they're indicated in all their plans, the impact of all the additional people that will have on transit, particularly during the baseball season and basketball season. I think there's a big overlap there. And currently the congestion in the neighborhood without the stadium is at its peak and over-used.

We lack infrastructure to support our current status in terms of transit and just adding additional workers who will be coming to and from the neighborhood to get to their jobs to construction and to work at the Warriors stadium will be a big influx. And we don't

have the infrastructure to support it today.

So when you are looking at all of the environmental reviews and the studies, I really feel that it's a detriment and does not do justice to the surrounding neighborhoods to ignore all of the planned projects that your office is currently working on.

Thank you.

1 4

2.5

BRETT BOLLINGER: Any more speakers? Last chance?

(No response)

BRETT BOLLINGER: Okay. Just going to go over a very quick couple points, and then we'll end the meeting.

Your comments tonight and ones we receive in writing will be carefully reviewed and reflected in the Draft SEIR. Written responses, however, will not be prepared until we issue the Response to Comments on the Draft SEIR. You have several opportunities for additional input, including providing written comments on scoping, comments on the Draft SEIR, and comments at the OCII Commission hearing on the Draft SEIR.

If you have any questions or comments concerning the environmental review process of the project, you can contact me, Brett Bollinger, at the Planning Department at 575-9024 or check the Environmental Planning pages on our website.

Information about the project can also be found on the OCII and Mayor's Office of Economic and Work Force Development websites. That wraps things up. Thank you for coming, have a good night. (Whereupon, the proceedings concluded at 7:23 o'clock p.m.)

1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA) ss. COUNTY OF MARIN 2) 3 I, DEBORAH FUQUA, a Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of California, do hereby certify 4 5 that the foregoing proceedings were reported by me, a disinterested person, and thereafter transcribed under 6 7 my direction into typewriting and is a true and correct 8 transcription of said proceedings. 9 I further certify that I am not of counsel or 10 attorney for either or any of the parties in the 11 foregoing proceeding and caption named, nor in any way 12 interested in the outcome of the cause named in said 13 caption. 1 4 Dated the 23rd day of December, 2014. 15 16 17 DEBORAH FUOUA CSR NO. 12948 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 2.5